

Instructions for the Reviewer: Please, complete PART I only, and e-mail it back as an attachment to the e-mail address at the bottom of this page.

**JOURNAL PAPER
(Blind Review Form)**

Journal refereeing process:

Conference Dates: _____

1. Part I should be completed by the reviewer only.
2. Part II should be completed by the ooi Academy Conference Program Committee only.

To the Reviewer: Could you please help to determine if this Journal paper is worthy of presentation at the ooiCTRLD conference and appearance in the refereed publication of the ooi Academy.

To the Author(s): Kindly use the revision comments (if any) below to make corrections to your paper and re-submit it to the ooiCTRLD Headquarters if PART II item "Paper is accepted with revision and please re-submit" is checked below.

Information on the paper: To be provided by the ooiCTRLD Service Region Director.

1. Title of the paper:
2. The area of academic discipline of the paper:

PART I: FOR THE REVIEWER ONLY.

(Please, X one of the choices.)

Content of the paper:

Excellent to Poor

1. Is the content of the paper of interest to you? ()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
2. Is there anything new to be learned from the content of the paper? ()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
3. Is the paper worthy of a presentation time slot in the conference program? ()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1

Organization of the paper:

1. Does the abstract summarize the content of the paper?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
2. Is the abstract followed by the introduction of the topic including literature review?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
3. Does the paper contain primary and or secondary data or model relating to the topic?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
4. Is the data/model analyzed, discussed, findings identified and reported in the paper?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
5. Does the paper end with conclusions, recommendations and or directions for further study?.....()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
6. Does the paper have at least 4 references and all the listed references are cited in the paper?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1
7. Are the majority of the referenced articles within the last 5 years?()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1

Ranking of paper for the purpose of scholarly research award:

8. How do you rank the paper?.....()5 ()4 ()3 ()2 ()1

Comments and or recommended revision to the paper: (Type your comments on additional page, if you need more room.)

9.

10. Initials of the reviewer: _____ Date: _____

PART II: FOR ooi ACADEMY CONFERENCE PROGRAM COMMITTEE

1. () Paper is accepted as it is. () Paper is accepted with revision and please re-submit it. () Paper is reject.

2. Suggested revision to the content of the paper.

3. Suggested revision to the organization of the paper.

4. Suggested revision to the format of the paper.

5. Comments.

Signature of the Representative. ooiCTRLD Service Region _____

Date: _____

ooi Consortium for Teaching, Research, Learning and Development (ooiCTRLD).
P. O. Box 1392, Ruston, Louisiana 71273-1392, U. S. A.

Website: <http://www.facultystudentconference.org>

Phone#: 1-318-254-0422

Fax#: 1-318-513-9497

E-mail: facultystudentconference@yahoo.com